2347861

#### \*\*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\* PREDECISIONAL DOCUMENT \*\*\*\*

# SUMMARY SCORESHEET FOR COMPUTING PROPOSED REVISED HRS SCORE

SITE NAME: Oil Operators, Inc.

CITY, COUNTY: Long Beach, Los Angeles

EPA ID #: CAD983566399

PROGRAM ACCOUNT #: FCA1483PAA

DATE: 6/5/90 EVALUATOR: Toner Mitchell

THIS SCORESHEET IS FOR A PA X SSI \_\_\_\_ LSI \_\_\_

#### PROJECTED PROPOSED REVISED HRS SCORE

	S pathway	S <sup>2</sup> pathway
Air Migration Pathway Score (Sa)	26.56	705.43
Groundwater Migration Pathway Score (Sgw)	68.25	4658.06
Surface Water Migration Pathway Score (S <sub>SW</sub> )	0	0
On-site Exposure Pathway Score (Sos)	100.00	10,000.00
$S_{a}^{2} + S_{gw}^{2} + S_{sw}^{2} + S_{os}^{2}$	**********	15,363.49
$(S_{a}^{2} + S_{gw}^{2} + S_{sw}^{2} + S_{os}^{2})/4$	*********	3,840.87
$\int (S_a^2 + S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_{os}^2)/4$	**************************************	61.97

#### AIR MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET

	Likelihood of Release Maximu	m Value	Projected Score	Ref.	Conf.
1	. Observed Release	450	0	1	Е
2	(Highest value assigned to any source evaluated)	390	300	2	H
	(Higher of Lines 1 or 2)	450	300		
	Waste Characteristics				
4		100	100	3	H
5		100	75	4	E
6	Waste Characteristics (Lines 4+5)	200	175		
	(Lines 4+3)	200	175		
	Targets				
7.		50	50	5	H
8.		235	47	6	E
9.		10	10	7	H
	<ul><li>Sensitive Environments*</li><li>Targets (Lines 7+8+9+10,</li></ul>	100	0	8	E
	subject to a maximum of 235)	235	107		
Ai	r Pathway Migration Score				
12	Pathway Score (S <sub>a</sub> )				
	(Lines 3x6x11)/2.115X10	100	26.56	**	Е

<sup>\*</sup>Use additional tables.

\*\*S<sub>a</sub> is not to be rounded to the nearest integer.

#### AIR PATHWAY CALCULATIONS

## 2. Potential to Release

Sou	rce Source Type	Source Type Factor Value (Table 2-6)	Source Mobility Factor Value (Table 2-10	) Sum	Source Contain. Value (Tables 2-4,2-5)	Emission Source Value
		(A)	(B)	(A + B)	(C)	(A+B) x C
1.	LAND TREATMENT	70	30	100	3	300
2.	>	>	>	>	>	>
3.	>	>	>	>	>	>
4.	>	>	>	>	>	>
Ref	erence: >					

# 8. Population

Distance Category	Distance (miles)	(A) Population	(B) Distance Weight	(A x B)
1	on-site	0	5.265	
2	> 0 to 1/4	1398	1.0	1398
3	>1/4 to 1/2	4130	0.1751	723
4	>1/2 to 1	9311	0.0517	481
5	> 1 to 2	49128	0.0171	840
6	> 2 to 3	86393	0.0083	717
7	> 3 to 4	93052	0.0054	502
Air targe	t populations =	$\frac{\text{(Sum of AxB)}}{100} = 47$	Sum of (A x B)	4661
Reference	: >			

## AIR PATHWAY CALCULATIONS (Cont.)

#### 9. Land Use

Land Use	Distance (miles)	(A) Distance Weight (Table 2-16)	(B) Value For Use Type	(A × B)
Commercial/Industrial/ Institutional	.25	1	5	5
Single Family Residential	.5	.1751	8	1
Multiple Family Residenti	al >	>	10	>
Parks	.25	1	5	5
Prime Agricultural	>	>	7	>
Nonprime Agricultural	>	>	5	>
			Sum of (A x B)	11

Land use factor value = Sum of (A X B) Subject to maximum value of 10 = 11

Reference: >

# 10. <u>Sensitive Environments</u>

Type of Environment	(A) Assigne Value (Table 2-	9	Distance (miles)	(B) Distance   Weight   (Table 2-16)	(A × B)
Area used by Cal Least Tern	lifornia 50		4	.0054	0
Sterna Antillaru	<u>ım</u> >		>	>	>
>	>		>	>	>
>	>		>	>	>
>	>		>	>	>
Sensiti	ve environme	nt fac	tor value = Sum	Sum of (A x B)	0

## GROUNDWATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET

			Projected		
	<u>Likelihood of Release</u>	Maximum Value	Score	Ref.	Conf.
1.	Observed Release	500	0	9	E
2.	Potential to Release*				
	2a. Containment	10	10	10	Е
	2b. Net Precipitation	10	1	11	E
	2c. Depth to Aquifer/		REPORT OF NAME		
	Hydraulic Conductivit		35	12	H
	2d. Sorptive Capacity	5	3	13	H
	2e. Potential to Release				
	(Lines 2ax(2b+2c+2d))		390		
3.	Likelihood of Release (Hig				
	of Lines 1 or 2e)	500	390		
	Waste Characteristics				
4.	Toxicity/Mobility	100	100	29	H
5.	Hazardous Waste Quantity	100	75	4	E
6.	Waste Characteristics (Lin		175		
	4+5)	200	175		
	Targets				
7.	Maximally Exposed Individu	al 50	12	14	E
8.	Population*				
	8a. Level I Concentration	s 200	_		
	8b. Level II Concentratio	ns 200	-		No.
	8c. Level III Concentrati	ons 200	-		
	8d. Potential Contaminati		200	15	E
	8e. Population (Lines 8a+				
	8b+8c+8d, subject to				
	a maximum of 200)	200	200		
9.	Groundwater Use				
	9a. Drinking Water Use	50	30	16	H
	9b. Other Water Use	20	10	17	Н
	9c. Groundwater Use (Line				
	9a+9b, with a maximum		10		
10	of 50)	50	40		
	Wellhead Protection Area	50	0		D
11.	Targets (Lines 7+8e+9c+10, subject to a maximum of 20	0) 200	200		E
	SIIDIACT TO a mayamum of 70	0) 200			

GROUNDWATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET (CONCLUDED)

Likelihood of Release	Maximum Value	Projected Score	Ref.	Conf.
12. Aquifer Score [Lines 3x6x11)/2x10 <sup>5</sup> ]**	100	68.25		
Groundwater Migration Pathwa	y Score			
13. Pathway Score (Sgw), (Highest Value from Line 12 for all aquifers	100 evaluated)	68.25	**	

<sup>\*</sup> Use additional tables

<sup>\*\*</sup> These scores are not to be rounded to the nearest integer.

#### GROUNDWATER PATHWAY CALCULATIONS

## 2. Potential to Release

Layer Description T	(T) hickness(ft)	(HC) Hydraulic Conductivity (cm/sec)	(SC) Sorptive Capacity	     (T/HC)	(TxSC)
ALLUVIAL CLAY, SILT, SAND	67	10 <sup>-2</sup>	15	6700	1005
>	>	>	>	>	>
>	>	>	>	>	>
Sum(T	')67			Sum(T/HC)=   6700	Sum(TxSC) = 1005

Depth to Aquifer/Hydraulic Conductivity =  $\frac{Sum(T)}{Sum(T/HC)}$  =  $10^{-2}$ 

Sorptive Capacity =  $\frac{Sum(T \times SC)}{100}$  = 10.05

Reference: >

### 8. Population

Actual Contamination

	Contaminant Ifier Detected	Concentration	Benchmark	(A) Population	(B) Level* Divisor	     (A/B)
>	>	>	>	>	>	· >
>	>	>	>	>	>	>
>	>	>	>	>	>	>
			Sum	(A/B) Level	I .	>
* Divi	sors Level I = 1		Sum	(A/B) Level	II	>
	evel II = 10 evel III = 100 ence: >		Sum	(A/B) Level	III	i <u>&gt;</u>

GROUNDWATER PATHWAY CALCULATIONS (Cont.)

# 8. Population

Potential Contamination

## Dilution Weighting Factor (DW)

Distance (miles)	Karst	All Others	(P) Population	(DW x P)
0 to 1/4	1.00	1.00		
>1/4 to 1/2	0.62	0.62	<u> </u>	
>1/2 to 1	0.50	0.32		
> 1 to 2	0.50	0.18	100,000	18,000
> 2 to 3	0.50	0.13	400,000	52,000
> 3 to 4	0.50	0.08		
			Sum (DW x P)	70,000

Potential contamination =  $\frac{Sum(DW \times P)}{100}$  = 700

#### SURFACE WATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET

Fa	ctor Categories and Factors  Maxim	um Value	Projected Score	Ref.	Conf.
DRI	NKING WATER THREAT				
	<u>Likelihood of Release</u>				
1.	Observed Release	120	0	18	
2.	Potential to Release by Overland Flow				
	2a. Containment	10	0	19	
	2b. Runoff	6	4	20	
	2c. Distance to Surface Water	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	6	20	
	2d. Potential to Release by Overland Flow (Lines				
	2ax(2b+2c))	120	0		
3.	Potential to Release by Flood				
	3a. Containment (Flood)	10		19	
	3b. Flood Frequency 3c. Potential to Release	12	0	21	D
	by flood (Lines 3ax3b)	120	0		
4.	Potential to Release	120	-		
	(Lines 2d+3c, subject to				
	a maximum of 120)	120	0		
5.	Likelihood of Release	120		-	
	(Higher of Lines 1 or 4)	120	0		
	Waste Characteristics				
6.	Toxicity/Persistence	100	100	20	
7.	Hazardous Waste Quantity	100	75	30	-
8.	Waste Characteristics	100	13	4	-
0.	(Lines 6+7)	200	175		
	Targets				
9.	Maximally Exposed Individual	50	0	22	
10.	Population*			No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Persons, Name of Street, or ot	Section 1
	10a. Level I Concentrations	200	-		
	10b. Level II Concentrations	200	SERVICE STATE		
	10c. Level III Concentrations	200	11-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-1		
	10d. Potential Contamination 10e. Population (Lines 10a +	200	0		
	10b+10c+10d, subject to a maximum of 200)	200	0		

## SURFACE WATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET (CONTINUED)

Factor Categories  and Factors  Maximum Value  Score  Ref.  Conf.				
DRINKING WATER THREAT (CONCLUDED)				
Targets (Concluded)				
11. Surface Water 11a. Drinking Water Use 11b. Other Water Use 11c. Surface Water Use	50 20	0	22	Н
(Lines 11a+11b) 12. Targets (Lines 9+10e+11c, subject to a maximum of 200)	200	0	22	Н
Drinking Water Threat Score				
13. Drinking Water Threat (Lines 5x8x12) 4.	8x10 <sup>6</sup>	0		
HUMAN FOOD CHAIN THREAT				
Likelihood of Release				
14. Likelihood of Release (Same Value as Line 5)	120	0		-
Waste Characteristics				
<ul><li>15. Toxicity/Persistence</li><li>16. Hazardous Waste Quantity</li><li>17. Waste Characteristics (Lines 15+16)</li></ul>	100 100 200	100 75 175	3 4	H E
Targets				
18. Population* 18a. Potential Human Food Chain Contamination	200	16	23	E
18b. Actual Human Food Chain Contamination	200	0	2	-
18c. Population (Lines 18a+18b, subject				
to a maximum of 200)	200	16		
19. Fishery Use 20. Targets (Lines 18c+19,	50	50	_23	<u>E</u>
subject to a maximum of 200)	200	66		

## SURFACE WATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET (CONTINUED)

Factor Categories and Factors  Maximum Val	Projected Lue Score Ref. Conf.
HUMAN FOOD CHAIN THREAT (Concluded)	
Human Food Chain Threat Score	
21. Human Food Chain Threat (Lines 14x17x20) 4.8x10 <sup>6</sup>	0
ENVIRONMENTAL THREAT	
29. Likelihood of Release (Same Value as Line 5) 120	0
Waste Characteristics	
30. Ecosystem Toxicity/Persistence 100 31. Hazardous Waste Quantity 100 32. Waste Characteristics (Lines 30+31) 200	90 75 165
Targets	103
33. Sensitive Environments* 33a. Level I Concentrations 120 33b. Level II Concentrations 120 33c. Potential Contamination 120 33d. Sensitive Environments subject to a maximum of 120) 120 34. Targets (Value from Line 33)	<u>0</u> <u>25</u>
Environmental Threat Score	
35. Environmental Threat (Lines 29x32x34) 2.88x10 <sup>6</sup>	0

#### SURFACE WATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET (CONTINUED)

#### SURFACE WATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORE FOR A WATERSHED

36. Watershed Score 100 (Lines 13+21+28+35)/48,000 subject to a maximum of 100]

#### SURFACE WATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORE

37. Pathway Score (Sgw),
(Sum of scores from Line 36
for all watersheds evaluated,
subject to a maximum of 100)

#### 18. Food Chain Targets

Fishery	Production (lb/yr)		Bioaccumulation Factor Value	(P) Assigned Population Value (Table4-16)	Flow at		(PxDW)
San Pedr Bay	549,000	6	(lead) 5	1.6 X 10 <sup>6</sup>	ocean	.001	1600
Los Ange River	eles 0	>	>	>	>	>	>
>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
>	>	>	,	>	>	>	>
			Sum (P)	1.6 X10	Sum(	(PxDW) 160	00

For fisheries with Actual Contamination, Food Chain Targets = Sum (P) = >

For fisheries with Potential Contamination, Food Chain Targets =  $\frac{\text{Sum}(DW \times P)}{100}$  = 16

<sup>0 \*\*</sup> 

<sup>\*</sup> Use additional tables.

<sup>\*\*</sup> These scores are not to be rounded to the nearest integer.

SURFACE WATER CALCULATIONS (Cont.)

### Potential Contamination

Sensitive Environment	(A) Assigned Value (Table 2-18 or 2-19)	Average Stream Flow (cfs)	(DW) Dilution Weighting Factor   (Table 4-11)	(A x DW)
California Least	Tern 75	20,000	.001	.075
>	>	>	>	>
>	,	>	>	>
			Sum of (A x DW)	.075
Potential contam	ination = Sum (A x DW	1) = .0075		

Potential contamination =  $\frac{\text{Sum (A x DW)}}{10}$  = .0075

#### ON-SITE EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORESHEET

Res	sident Population Threat Maximum	Value	Projected Score	Ref.	Conf.
1.	Likelihood of Exposure	100	0	26	H
2.	Waste Characteristics	5	0		
3.	Targets 3a. High-Risk Population	100	^		
	<ul><li>3a. High-Risk Population</li><li>3b. Total Resident Population</li></ul>	100	0		
	3c. Terrestrial Sensitive	100			
	Environments	25	0		
	3d. Targets (Lines 3a+3b+3c,	23			
	subject to a maximum				
	of 100)	100	0		
4.	Resident Population Threat				
	Score (Lines 1x2x3d) 50	,000	0		
			RISESTANTON DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTR		
	Nearby Population Threat				
5.	Likelihood of Exposure				
-	5a. Waste Quantity	100	100	31	E
	5b. Accessibility Frequency	100	100	31	<u> </u>
	of Use	100	75	27	Н
	5c. Likelihood of Exposure	100	100		-
6.	Waste Characteristics	5	5	32	
7.	Targets*				
	7a. Population Within 1-Mile	100	100	28	E
	7b. Targets (Line 7a,				
	subject to a maximum of 100)	100	100		
8.	Nearby Population Threat Score	100	100		
0.		,000	50,000		
	(Bines Seron)	,000	30,000		
	On-site Exposure Pathway Score				
9.	On-site Exposure Pathway 10	00	**		
	Score (Sos) (Lines [4+8]/500,		100		
	to a maximum of 100)				

<sup>\*</sup> Use additional table.

<sup>\*\*</sup>These scores are not to be rounded to the nearest integer.

ON-SITE EXPOSURE CALCULATIONS

# 7. Nearby Population Targets

Distance (A) (miles) Multiplier		(P) Population	(A x P)	
0 to 1/4	0.10	1398	139.8	
>1/4 to 1/2	0.05	4130	206.5	
>1/2 to 1	0.025	9311	232.8	
		Sum (A x P)	579.1	
Reference: >				

SCORESHEET RATIONALE - OIL OPERATORS, INC. Although the illnesses of several nearby schoolchildren and residents coincided with the beginning of the land treatment project, a direct link between the two events has not been established. It should be noted that benzene, ethyl benzene, xylene, and toluene were detected in October 1989 air sampling. 2. Based on land treatment as source type, high particulate mobility for this region high gas mobility of arsenic, and the absence of containment. Toxicity based on lead as a contaminant (5), Versar Tables. 3. Mobility based on Thornthwaite PE Index for this region (3). Since midnight dumping has not been proven at the site, and the 4. petroleum related contaminants are exempt from CERCLA, the source area must be used in calculating waste quantity. The site is 14 acres = 609,840 ft. 28,100 (land treatment/contaminated soil) = 75.29 as waste quantity. 5. There is an elementary school located less than 500 feet west of the Oil Operators site. U.S. Geological Survey topographic map, Long Beach Quadrangle, 1964. Approximately 243,000 people live within a 4-mile radius of the 6. site. California Department of Finance, "1980 Census of Population and Housing Database," Summary Tape Tape File 1A. The site is in a mixed industrial/residential area of Long Beach. 7. Site Reconnaissance Interview and Observations Report, Toner Mitchell, Ecology and Environment, Inc., Field Investigation Team, May 17, 1990. 8. The California Least Tern (Sterna Antillarum) has been known to frequent coastal areas of Long Beach. This is farther than 4 miles from the site. California Department of Fish and Game, Natural Diversity Database, Long Beach Quadrangle, expires April 1, 1990. Lead (18 mg/l), chromium (5.5 mg/l) and copper (7.3 mg/l) have been detected in perched water beneath the site. However, this is not the aquifer of concern. Jaykim Engineers, Inc., Sample Results, Oil Operators, Inc., May 13, 1988. 10. The north property site is apparently lined with clay. However, the observed release to perched water indicates that containment at the site is inadequate. 11. Net annual precipitation for the Long Beach area is approximately 3 inches. Comparative Climatic Data for the United States through 1985, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service, National Climatic Data Center, Nashville, TN. tm/oil/rhrs

SCORESHEET RATIONALE - OIL OPERATORS, INC. (Cont.) Depth to perched groundwater is approximately 8 feet. Underlying geological material consists of alluvial silts, sands, and clay, underlain by gravel and sand to about 1,000 feet. Jack K. Bryant and Associates, Inc., Summary of Boring No. MW-4, Oil Operators, Inc., North Site Date Drilled: June 5, 1989. State of California Department of Water Resources, Bulletin #104, "Planned Utilization of Groundwater Basins of the Coastal Plain of Los Angeles County," Appendix A, Groundwater Geology, June, 1961. 13. Sorptive capacity based on the presence of sand and gravel in underlying zones. The nearest known drinking water well is approximately 1.5 miles west of the site. This well is operated by the City of Dominguez. Terry Witthoft, Dominguez Water Corporation, and Kate Dragolovich, E & E FIT, telephone conversation, August 8, 1989. The Long Beach Water Department operates 28 wells that serve approximately 430,000 Long Beach residents. Flohra, Ron, Long Beach Water Department and Toner Mitchell, E & E FIT, telephone conversation, May 9, 1990. Groundwater is blended with Colorado River water purchased from the Metropolitan Water District to serve Long Beach. Flohra, Ron, Long Beach Water Department, and Toner Mitchell, E & E FIT, telephone conversation, May 9, 1990. Groundwater is used for industrial purposes as well. Same source as 16. 18. No observed release to surface water has been documented. 19. The Los Angeles River is bermed so it is effectively upgradient of the facility. Site Reconnaissance Interview and Observations Report, Dick Young and Wes Williams, Oil Operators, Inc., and Toner Mitchell, E & E FIT, May 17, 1990. 20. Site is within 100 feet of the Los Angeles River. The drainage area is 14 acres. Surface material is sand with little or no vegetation. Same source as 19. 21. Site is in 100-year floodplain, default value. 22. Surface water is not used for drinking. Flohra, Ron, Long Beach Water Department, and Toner Mitchell, E & E FIT. telephone conversation, May 9, 1990. tm/oil/rhrs

#### SCORESHEET RATIONALE - OIL OPERATORS, INC. (Cont.)

- 23. Approximately 549,000 pounds of fish are taken out of San Pedro Bay by commercial fishermen each year. California Department of Fish and Game, Marine Resource Division, "Catch Block Data for 1987," derived from Annual 1AA Report, August 31, 1988.
- 24. Based on Ecosystem toxicity for copper and lead. Versar Tables.
- 25. Although California Least Tern frequents coastal areas of Long Beach, their habitat is more than 4 miles downstream of the Oil Operators site.
- 26. There is no resident population. Site Reconnaissance Interview and Observations Report, May 17, 1990.
- 27. Although fenced on three sides, the site is accessible from the west where a horse/bike riding path exists. Site Reconnaissance Interview and Observations Report, May 17, 1990.
- 28. Approximately 15,000 people live within one mile of the site. California Department of Finance, "1980 Census of Population and Housing Database," Summary Tape File 1A.
- 29. Based on toxicity/aquatic mobility for arsenic. Versar Tables.
- 30. Based on toxicity/persistence for lead. Versar Tables.
- 31.  $560,000 \text{ yds.}^3 \times 2,000 \text{ lbs/yds.}^3 = 1,120,000,000 \text{ lbs.} + 7,407 (QWD) = 151,208 available to the on-site pathway.$
- 32. Based on overall toxicity for lead. Versar tables.